

STATE OF WASHINGTON
DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY
HAZARDOUS WASTE INVESTIGATIONS AND CLEANUP PROGRAM

April 24, 1989

31. 1. 2
COLBERT
COLSF 8.1. VI
RECEIVED
MAY 10 1989
SUPERFUND BRANCH

TO: Colbert Landfill File
FROM: Mike Blum *Mike Blum*
SUBJECT: Colbert Landfill Kick-off Meeting for the Remedial
Design/Remedial Action (RD/RA)

Attending the meeting on April 19, 1989, in Spokane were: Larry Beard and Hank Landau from Landau Associates; Dean Fowler, Dennis Scott, and Bill Dobratz from Spokane County; Neil Thompson from EPA; and Mike Blum from Ecology. The following is a brief summary of the discussions from the meeting:

The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the proposed schedule (Attachment #1) which had been telefaxed the day before the meeting. Items discussed were as follows:

1. The project contacts and lines of communications (see Attachment #2). Basically all things should go through the respective project managers for their distribution to their team members.
2. Community Relations. The governments are in the lead which I said means we have final approval authority of all documents which are distributed to the public. I also encouraged the County to take on as much as possible of the day to day community relations. All fact sheets need joint agency letterhead. Ecology will do update of community relations plan. Maybe May 15, 1989, for a public meeting to introduce Landau Associates, the proposed schedule, the need for property access from residents in the near future, and other related issues. The community relations person, who is a sub to Landau and also works for the County Commissioners, is Kip Nedved. He is located in Spokane.
3. At the 50 percent completion stage, review meetings for various work plans will be conducted with the County and the Governments. In mid-May should be a meeting to discuss the progress and ideas for the following plans: health and safety, quality assurance project plan, and the Phase I groundwater monitoring plan.
4. I reviewed my comments and questions on the proposed schedule and work plan submittal procedures (Attachment #1). The following are the general topics covered:

USEPA SF



1414490

- A. Landfill closure and the Minimum Functional Standards. At a minimum, they need to do methane monitoring and control, if necessary. Other MFS requirements may be delayed, but we need to look more closely at the regulations. We should also look at the requirements for site fencing and leachate monitoring.
- B. Alternate water supplies and negotiations with Whitworth Water District. I recommended that they hookup the 5 residences listed in the decree as soon as possible. All plans for expansion and or additions to the Whitworth system will need to go through DSHS Water Supply review section.
- C. Government review times for documents. Generally 30 days for work plan reviews is sufficient. We will want longer for plan and spec review or other very lengthy or detailed documents. We will review as quickly as possible. There are no time frames for government review in the decree.
- D. Planned drilling procedures to be followed. Landau would like to use the methods done during the RI. That included air rotary drilling and simple field testing of drill cuttings and development water to determine disposal options. I said that was OK and that Landau should talk to Doug Morell of Golder Associates or Mike Gallagher of Ecology about the drilling problems that occurred during the RI. Landau expects to drill about 10,000 feet of monitor wells.
- E. Past soil samples. I informed Landau that they could have all the samples that Golder collected during the RI. Larry Beard will contact Doug Morell to arrange for the sample transfer.
- F. Time lines. The time line charts should run from month #1 through to month #48, or whatever it is, not the 1-24 and 1-28 as shown on the attached time lines.
- G. The tentative plan or order for monitor well and pilot study work is first in the West, then the South, and lastly in the East.
- H. Progress reports. I said that progress reports which are to come monthly, should be short and sweet but informative (what happened last month, problems encountered, what is to happen next month, etc). The quarterly reports should be more detailed.
5. The Ecology team. I explained who is on the Colbert team and their roles (Ravi Krishnaiah, Russ Darr, Dick Boose, Mike Blum, and a vacant community relations position). The EPA team is Neil Thompson, maybe Rene Fuentes, and probably Ecology & Environment.
6. Other issues, tasks, and things to be thinking about:

- Need to set up process for approval of change orders.
 - Send organization chart for Cleanup program to County and Landau.
 - Send resumes of Ecology team members to County and Landau.
 - Will we need field office space and/or trailer space during construction?
 - Need to send copy of WAC regarding establishing Groundwater Management Sub Areas as well as where else in the state it has been done. This will probably be the best option for institutional controls for new well drilling.
 - Send map of water right permits in the Colbert area. Are any maps available that Golder may have developed, which can be used as base maps, so Landau will not have to recreate something that already exists.
 - Send copy of mailing list to Dean and Larry for community relations work.
 - Send outline of what was presented at the last public meeting along with reactions from the local media.
 - Send copy of old community relations plan to Dean and Larry.
6. Fiscal issues. I outlined the various pots of money available (mixed funding, trust fund, and grants, as well as the local share and EPA mixed funding) and asked the County to think how they would like to use the monies, if they had their choice. They will think about it. The only difference in opinion was that they felt they could use the trust fund money and count it as local share. I said no, Ecology would only match Spokane's share and not the money from KeyTronics nor Fairchild. There was no resolution of the issue regarding accounting for the monies. The County will be hiring a full time accountant to handle these types of projects. They will also be submitting a revised grant application in the near future. I said I was working on a letter to describe all the fiscal issues and it should be going out soon.

MB:mb

cc. Neil Thompson, EPA

8.1
2/24/84

ENFORCEMENT - CONFIDENTIAL

There have been no Federal or State enforcement actions taken to date at this site. As noted previously, five of the households in the vicinity filed a lawsuit against Spokane County and Key Tronics and were awarded a settlement. The settlement included a directive to provide drinking water to these homes.

This site could potentially be classified as an enforcement-lead site. However, the Region decided to classify it as a fund lead site for two reasons. One was the limitation placed on the Region by the RAP process to initiate only two remedial and two enforcement RI/FS projects in FY 84. The other is that the State of Washington is interested in taking the lead on as many sites in the State as possible. In actuality, the site might be a good candidate for a State-lead enforcement project if such a classification existed. However, it is unlikely that the State would take an enforcement action against one of its own political subdivisions and in this case, the county is a potential responsible party.

K.Davidson:2/24/84:3273P

Neil,
• You have
already seen
attachments #1 and 2
Mike

RECORD OF COMMUNICATION		<input type="checkbox"/> PHONE CALL <input type="checkbox"/> DISCUSSION <input type="checkbox"/> FIELD TRIP <input type="checkbox"/> CONFERENCE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OTHER (SPECIFY) _____ <small>(Record of item checked above)</small>	
TO: <i>See addresses below</i>	FROM: <i>Neil Thompson 442-7177</i>	DATE <i>8/8/83</i>	TIME
SUBJECT <i>Review of Colbert Landfill Draft RAMP.</i>			
SUMMARY OF COMMUNICATION <p><i>Attached is a copy of the draft Colbert Landfill RAMP for your review.</i></p> <p><i>Please return any comments to me by Aug 31, 1983 for consideration in the final RAMP.</i></p> <p><i>Addressee:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>C. Findley (w/o attachment)</i> <i>J. Everts REM/FIT Coord.</i> <i>C. Koshuta ORC</i> <i>F. Wolf ESD</i> <i>R. Jones DW</i> <i>J. Malm DOE</i> <i>D. Taam Spokane County Utilities</i> <i>J. Anricetti Spokane County Health</i> <i>J. Jewett DOE Oly</i> 			
CONCLUSIONS, ACTION TAKEN OR REQUIRED			
INFORMATION COPIES TO:			